



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,016	02/04/2000	Edward Balassanian	3802-4001	8210
7:	590 01/02/2002			
Morgan & Finnegan, L. L. P.			EXAMINER	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154-0053			WILLETT, STEPHAN F	TEPHAN F
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4.G

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/498,016

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Stephan Willett

Art Unit 2152

Balassanian

Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the corresp	oondenc address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be	e timely filed
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be considered timely. 	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30)	days will
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above. 	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si	tatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nailing date of this communication, even if timely t	filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 区 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 4</u>	!, 2000	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	e except for formal matters, prosecution x parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213	n as to the merits is 3.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-142</u>		is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s) _41-49, 103-109, 120, 1	131, and 142	is/are withdrawn from considers
5)		is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-40, 50-102, 110-119, 121-130, and 13</u>	32-141	is/are rejected.
7)		is/are objected to.
8) 🛭 Claims <u>1-142</u>	are subject to re	estriction and/or election requiren
Application Papers		
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a 🔲 approved b)[□disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign $\mathfrak p$	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents ha 	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha —		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of th		
7 7 7 Nownedgement is made of a dialin for domestic	5 priority dilder 35 0.5.C. § 119(e).	
ttachment(s)		
5) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4-6	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
/ Municipation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s).	20)	

6

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-40, 50-102, 110-119, 121-130 and 132-141 drawn to routing content, are classified in class 709, subclass 245.
 - II. Claims 41-49, 103-109, 120, 131 and 142, drawn to discovering resources, are classified in class 709, subclass 223.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The claims in Group I involve steps to route data, while the claims in Group II specifically involve discovering resources to be able to route data, which is classified in a different class from Group I.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as to route data. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as to allow a system to route data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Ì

6. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-40, 50-102, 110-119, 121-130 and 132-141 by telephone on 9/26/01 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-40, 50-102, 110-119, 121-130 and 132-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ranger with Patent Number 5,999,940 in view of Chang et al. with Patent Number 5,974,449.
- 9. Regarding claim(s) 1, 19, 22, 37, 57, 86, 93-95, Ranger teaches data transfer and discovery. Ranger teaches source and destination appliances, col. 4, lines 59-64. Ranger teaches content types, col. 5, lines 38. Ranger teaches the invention in the above claim(s) except for explicitly teaching sending the data type to a destination address with its content classification. In that Ranger operates to transfer data in a computer network, the artisan would have looked to the network communication arts for details of implementing data transfer based on data type. In that art ,Chang, a related network communication system, teaches an "invention provides messaging between disparate messaging interfaces that may employ different messaging formats", col. 3, lines 66-67 in order to provide diverse communication capabilities. Chang specifically teaches that the "system provides notification to an intended recipient using the delivery notification, and presents the processed message having a content format of a second

3,

type to the intended recipient", col. 4, lines 21-24. Further, Chang suggests that "the unique user name and mailbox ID assigned to a subscriber", col. 6, lines 4-5 will result from implementing his communication system. The motivation to incorporate address and content type in a header insures that a recipient is informed of the type of information received for easier processing. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the header as taught in Chang into the communication system described in Ranger because Ranger operates with headers and Chang suggests that optimization can be obtained by using an address and content type header. Therefore, by the above rational, the above claims are rejected.

- 10. Regarding claims 2-3. 8. 18. 20, 23-24, 26-29, 50, 58, 62-63, 68, 70, 72-73, 75-76, 79, 81-82, 89, 110, 11-112, 115-118, 121-123, 126-127, 132-133, 137-128, Ranger teaches *mapping* and tables, col. 6, lines 11-15 and Chang teaches converting data types, col. 4, lines 20-21. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the combination.
- 11. Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, 19, 51, 71, 83, -85, 87, 124, Ranger teaches destination content types, col. 6, lines 34-36. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the combination.
- 12. Regarding claims 5, 6, 30, 55, 56, 114, 125, 136, 139, Ranger teaches an intermediate content type, col. 6, lines 26-27. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the combination.
- 13. Regarding claims 7, 11, 17, 21, 25 and 31, Chang teaches *an intermediate routing addresses*, col. 5, lines 33-35. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the combination.
- 14. Regarding claims 9, 12, 13, 15, 32-36, 52-54, 59-61, 64-69, 74, 77, 78, 80, 88, 113, 129,

Application/Control Number: 09/498,016

Page 5

134, 135, Chang teaches address routing tables, col. 6, lines 43-47. Thus, the above claim

limitations are obvious in view of the combination.

15. Regarding claims 38, 90, 96-101, 119, 130, 141, Ranger teaches web based data, col. 5,

lines 48. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the combination.

16. Regarding claims 39, 91-92 and 102, Chang teaches list of source and destination

resources, col. 7, lines 65-67. Thus, the above claim limitations are obvious in view of the

combination.

Ť

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure is disclosed in the Notice of References Cited.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Stephan Willett whose telephone number is (703) 308-5230. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-9731.

20. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9605.

sfw

September 26, 2001

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100